

DOCUMENT NUMBER: COMM-QA-019 FRM1			
<b>DOCUMENT TITLE:</b> Change Control Request (Effectiveness Check) FF	RM1		
DOCUMENT NOTES:			
Document Information			
Revision: 09	Vault: COMM-QA-rel		
Status: Release	Document Type: COMM-QA		
Date Information			
Creation Date: 29 Oct 2019	Release Date: 30 Oct 2020		
Effective Date: 30 Oct 2020	Expiration Date:		
Control Information			
Author: LE42	Owner: LE42		
Previous Number: COMM-QA-019 FRM1 Rev 08	Change Number: COMM-CCR-134		

Change Control Request Number: Initiator: Date Request Initiated:
Instructions to Initiator: Complete Sections I, II, and V by checking applicable items and provide explanation where requested (To be completed on the First Route).
TAB 1: "Section I – Type of Change"
Document Changes (If selected, Fill out below items)
□ N/A
☐ New Document Title(s):
☐ Existing Document(s): ☐ Revise ☐ Archive
New Title for Existing Document(s):
Existing Document Number, Title(s) and Revision Number:
Collaborators for New or Revised Document(s):
Document Training Requirements:  Development of Training Tools Required? Yes No Checklist
☐ Quiz
☐ Other (specify)
MasterControl Job Codes Requiring Training:
Specify job codes requiring training:

Comments:

have been reviewed and are appropriate for this version.

Revised Documents Only - Previously established job codes for this document

<u>l</u>
Process Changes (If selected, Fill out below items)
□ <b>N</b> /A
Does change affect qualification status of Process? Yes No
Summarize Process Change (How do individual changes described within Section I impact the overall process):
Comments:
Equipment Changes
□ N/A
(If selected, Provide Equipment Information at the prompts below.)
New Equipment (Name, Serial Number)
☐ Critical ☐ Non-Critical
☐ Replacing
☐ Decommission Equipment
<ul> <li>■ Existing Equipment Change or Move</li> <li>Equipment: □ Critical □ Non-Critical</li> <li>Does change affect qualification status of equipment? Yes □ No □</li> </ul>
Equipment Repair (Name, Serial Number)
Software Changes

□ N/A
New Software (Software Type, Name, and Version Number)
Software Change (Upgrade/Replacement)
Replacing/Upgrading
Material Specification Form Changes (Critical MSPECs Only)
N/A
☐ New MSPEC Number
Danis du a MCDEC Namban
Replacing MSPEC Number
Quality Documents available
Service Provider Changes
□ N/A
(If selected, Provide Service Provider Name, Type of service provided, and Criticality at the prompts below.)
☐ New Service Provider (List Name)
☐ Critical ☐ Non-Critical
☐ Replacing (List Name)
Service Provider Removed
☐ Service Provider Scope/Process Change (detail in description of change)
Process Change Alters Criticality:   Yes   No
Facility Changes
N/A
(If selected, Provide Facility Shutdown dates and/or Layout Change at the prompts below.)
☐ Facility Shutdown
☐ Facility Shutdown Date

☐ Proposed Facility Start Up Date	
☐ Facility Layout Change	
De co Cherne Jameleo Nem Euritan ante D. Ven D. Ne	
Does Change Involve New Equipment: Yes No	
Rooms/Areas Impacted	
Other, Explain:	

# TAB 2: Section I - Description and Reason for Change

Description of Change(s):
Reason for Change(s):
Comments:
Is this Change associated with and/or a direct result of a Deviation and Investigation, Adverse Event, Complaint, or, Validation?
If yes, explain:
Associated event number:

## TAB 3: "SECTION II - IMPACT AND RISK ASSESSMENT"

Select all System/Areas Affected by the Change Control Request:					
☐ ABMT	COMM	Inventory	QSU		
☐ APBMT	Equipment	MC3 Research/PD	STCL		
CCBB Admin	Facility Utilities	☐ MPACT	Other:		
CCBB Collections	GMP Clinical	☐ PBMT			
CCBB Lab	GMP Lab	☐ MC HTML Forms	Project/ Product Affected:		
Select any additional items required before change is implemented:  Analytical Validation Number  Equipment Qualification Number  External Reporting Required  List/Describe external reporting entity  Copy of Communication/Notification Required  Sponsor Approval of Change Required  Process Validation Number  SCR Number for EMMES change  Supplier/Service Provider Qualification  HTML Form Assessment  Computerized System Applicability Assessment (If applicable to facility/area affected - Robertson GMP Laboratory)  Risk Assessment Exercise/Report per COMM-QA-080  If Risk Assessment Included, Specify Tool Utilized/Explain					
Other, explain					
List all other documents, as applicable, that also reference the same SOP, process, document, or other item that is the focus of this change:					
Place these documents into collaboration for updates (under a new CCR): List New CCR #  Documents not placed in collaboration, but will be updated at next MC designated review cycle:					
Affected Documents, but will not be placed into collaboration, (include rationale/justification):					

# Risk Assessment (See *COMM-QA-077 Risk Assessment Procedure*): Severity Assessment Score (S):

### **Severity Assessment Rationale (S):**

S	Severity	Definition	Anticipated Harm to the Patient	GMP Non-compliance	Impact on Product
1	Negligible	Insignificant	None	None	No perceived impact on product
2	Marginal	At the outer or lower limits, minimal for requirements	Minimal	Minor	Unlikely impact on product, SQIPP not likely to be affected
3	Moderate	Within reasonable limits, transient or persistent	Transient or persistent, not life threatening	Significant	May indirectly impact product quality/SQIPP
4	Serious	Very important	Permanent, life threatening	Major	High likelihood of impacting product quality/SQIPP
5	Critical	Abnormal, unstable, unfavorable	May cause or contribute to death	Serious	Evidence of Product Impact, SQIPP affected

### **Probability Assessment Score (P):**

### **Probability Assessment Rationale (P):**

P	Probability	Definition (Occurrence)	Definition (Recurrence)
1	Rare	Not likely to happen, nearly impossible	Extremely unlikely to recur
2	Low	Occurrence is hardly likely, but possible	Unlikely to recur
3	Occasional	al May occur sometimes Likely to recur sometim	
4	Probable	Repeated occurrence, high likelihood of occurrence	Recur at moderate rate
5	Frequent	Will happen for certain, a regularly observed event	Likely to recur regularly

### **Detectability Assessment Score (D):**

### **Detectability Assessment Rationale (D):**

D	Detectability	Definition	Definition Examples	
1	High	Control system in place; automated detectability certain	Automatic detection system that is a direct measure of the failure	
2	Good Control system is in place with a high probability to detect the issue or its effects		SOP driven process that facilitates a direct measure of the failure	
3	Moderate	Control system in place could detect the issue or its effects	SOP driven process that is NOT directly measuring or assessing the failure	
4	Fair	Control system in place with a low probability to detect the issue or its effects	Non-SOP driven process for detection of direct measure of the failure	
5	Low	No control system in place to detect the issue.	No ability to detect the failure or no SOP-driven process to indirectly detect the failure	

#### COMBINED CHANGE CONTROL RISK ASSESSMENT SCORE:

#### RISK ASSESSMENT SUMMARY/CONCLUSION:

If one risk parameter i	is scored a 5 and no	effectiveness check	is completed, justif	ication is required	as detailed in
COMM-QA-077.					

	N/A
--	-----

### **Risk Assessment Summary/Conclusion:**

Image of Table 4 From COMM_QA-077	

TAB - "Section III - Regulatory Affairs Review"-

Change(s) Associated with a Licensed Product [				
RA	Classification	Reporting Type	Time of Document Release	
	Major	PAS	Wait for FDA feedback.  Confirm release date with RA.	
	Moderate	CBE 30	30 Days after FDA Receipt of Supplement.  Confirm release date with RA.	
	Moderate	CBE 0	Immediately after FDA Receipt.  Confirm release date with RA.	
	Minor	AR	Effective immediately. No confirmation required from RA.	
	N/A			
Change(s) As	ssociated with a M	laster File or an Inv	vestigational Product Under an IND	
RA Reporting Type Time of Document Release				
	Ame	ndment	Confirm release date with RA.	
	Annual Report		Effective immediately. No confirmation required from RA.	
	N	√A		
RA Commen	nts:			

TAB 4 – "SECTION IV – Final Approval To Implement" (*To be completed on the Second Route*)

All requirements noted in Sections II and III met to implement change (ex. Qualification,
Validation, Regulatory Submission, External Reporting)?
Yes No*
*If no, explain:
Projected Implementation (Effective) Dates for New or Revised Document(s):
Comments:

# TAB - "Section V - Effectiveness Check Requirements"

SECTION V: Effectiveness Check Requirements
Effectiveness Checks [check all that apply and describe in comments section below]
☐ Walkthrough/Inspection
☐ Review of Documentation
☐ Testing/Data Analysis
☐ Requirements/Outcomes achieved
☐ Additional tasks to be determined for completeness
Effectiveness Check Projected Completion date:
Comments:

# TAB – "Section VI – Effectiveness Check Completion" (To be completed on the THIRD route)

Effectiveness Check To be done at an agreed upon time after change implementation
Completion date:
Outcome:
Change Satisfactory?  Yes No*
*If no, explain:
If necessary, list new CCR number:
Comments:
Comments.

TAB – "A	ΓAB – "Attachments"					
ATTACH	MENTS					

# TAB - "Change Control Form Routing"

### **Change Control Plan Approval (First Routing)**

#### Initiator will:

- Populate the appropriate CCR section.
- Route CCR for first routing.

First routing steps are as follows:

- 1) Initiator
- 2) Medical Director (MD)
- 3) Regulatory Affairs (RA)
- 4) Quality (QA)\*

### **Change Control Implementation Approval (Second Routing)**

#### Initiator will:

- HOLD the CCR at the initiator step until ready to implement the change.
- Review RA comments and ensure all requirements to implement have been met
- Once all requirements have been met and ready to implement the change, route CCR for second routing.

Second routing steps are as follows:

- 5) Initiator
- 6) Quality (QA)\* Approves Implementation

# **Effectiveness Check Approval (Third Routing)**

#### Initiator will:

- HOLD CCR at initiator step until EC is completed.
- Once EC has been completed, populate EC outcome fields and completion dates. Route CCRs for third routing.

Third routing steps are as follows:

- 7) Initiator
- 8) Quality (QA)
- 9) Medical Director (MD)
- 10) Final Quality (QA) Approval\*
- \*An email notification is sent that CCR is complete.

<sup>\*</sup>An email notification is sent that the plan is approved and initiator can proceed with next steps (collaboration, validations, etc.).

<sup>\*</sup>An email notification is sent that the change is approved for implementation.

## **Signature Manifest**

**Document Number:** COMM-QA-019 FRM1 **Revision:** 09

Title: Change Control Request (Effectiveness Check) FRM1

Effective Date: 30 Oct 2020

All dates and times are in Eastern Time.

## COMM-QA-019 FRM1 Change Control Request (Effectiveness Check) FRM1

#### **Author**

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Lisa Eddinger (LE42)		26 Oct 2020, 09:15:37 AM	Approved

### **Medical Director**

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Joanne Kurtzberg (KURTZ001)		26 Oct 2020, 09:17:35 AM	Approved

### Quality

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Richard Bryant (RB232)		26 Oct 2020, 10:34:35 AM	Approved

#### **Document Release**

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Sandy Mulligan (MULLI026)		27 Oct 2020, 10:02:24 AM	Approved